IR2009 conference submission feedback

Title of submission:

Which of the following conference theme(s) does the submission address? (please tick)

1.	Variety in institutional research - conceptions, methodologies and institutional location	
2.	Using institutional research to inform policy and practice	
3.	Capacity building in institutional research	
4.	Understanding and enhancing the student experience and expectations	

What is the format of the submission?

1.	Workshop	
2.	Paper	
3.	Work in progress/discussion session	
4.	Poster	

Criteria for acceptance (score from 0 - 5 where 0 = does not meet the criterion, to 5 = meets the criterion exceptionally)

1.	This is relevant to the overall conference and the relevant theme	
2.	There is clarity of session objectives	
3.	This submission will appeal to the institutional research community	
4.	There is a contribution to scholarship, new or innovative practice, and evaluation and enhancement of institutional research	
5.	There are opportunities for delegates to listen, talk and reflect; enabling delegates to take away the lessons learned and apply them in their own context.	

Decision:

1.	Accept without changes	
2.	Accept with minor changes	
3.	Accept with major changes	
4.	Present in alternative format	
5.	Reject	

Feedback (e.g. strengths, need for changes/clarification, more explicit about process):