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Description of learning and teaching approach adopted

Teaching and Learning

The module is organized into three sections:

Weeks 1-4: University-based preparatory work

For the first four weeks, teaching and learning on the module takes place in the university, in the form of lectures, seminars and workshops on the following subjects:

Community History: what is it?

- an introduction to some of the major sources of use for studying families, parishes and communities since 1800;
- the developments in British social history which have seen the growing popularity of family, community and oral history in the post-war years;
- key concepts in community history;
- community history and community 'regeneration'.

Oral History:

- oral history: theory and practice;
- the problems of memory

Heritage:

- the growth of the heritage industry;
- the 'heritage debates'.

Schools' History:

- History at Key Stages 1, 2 and 3;
- Local history in school

The lectures introduce the theoretical aspects of the subject area, while the appended seminars enable students to develop and practice some of the research skills necessary to undertake project work in the field of community history.

Week 5: Students meet your project contact and draw up an initial project proposal.

Weeks 6: work-in-progress session plus oral history training (for those doing oral history)

Weeks 5-11: the community history project

Weeks 5-11 will be mainly spent out of the university. This is likely to involve visits to the 'site', meetings with appropriate people, visits to record offices and possibly doing oral history interviews.

Students work either individually or in small groups to produce something of use to the community group concerned. This might be a report, a piece of primary research, an education pack, a number of oral history interviews and transcriptions, or (skills allowing) a DVD, etc. An individual learning contract will be drawn up which will

---

Subject group: History

Number of students involved: 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of the employability framework addressed:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of autonomy;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key skills development;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real world activities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work related learning;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection on use of knowledge and skills: transfer of these to work environment;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation for specific professional areas;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise, innovation and creativity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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specify the nature of a student’s contribution to the project and the project work required for assessment. We will have regular drop-in work-in-progress sessions at the University, to ensure that you are on track and to iron out any difficulties with the project.

**Week 12: feedback and debriefing session**

This session will be University-based. Students will be expected to present their project to the seminar group as a whole. **Assessment:**

**Project - 50%**

This will be the major piece of work (3000 words if a written report) that comes out of the community history, and will be worth 50% of the total marks. This will be negotiated between the student, tutor and community history group, as appropriate. It might take the form of a report, a set of transcribed interviews, an education pack, a booklet, a piece of primary research for a community-based organisation. It will be marked by the tutor in consultation with the community group representative. The project will be developed on a group wiki on the Community History blackboard site.

**Portfolio - 50%**. This includes:

- a critical appraisal of a primary source used by family and community historians (e.g., Census, wills etc.) (500 words).
- a 1500 word individual project analysis which reflects upon the successes and problems of the project (1500 words). Students need to consider: the project formulation; clarity of aims; degree of difficulty; achievement of aims. They are to comment on personal issues (time management, reliability, attitude, initiative, team work, verbal communication skills, flexibility, research skills); any external support, including that of supervisor; the overall value of the project. Students are expected to clearly introduce the project; to set in its appropriate historical contexts; to use primary sources; to be critical of both sources and methodology.
- a weekly on-line learning diary or blog, in which students record and reflect upon the development of their community history projects and placements.

**Employability objectives and intended learning outcomes**

Clearly the module addresses a range of ‘employability’ issues, in terms of developing both transferable and specific skills related to ‘doing history’ in different contexts. In particular, the module is concerned with the practice of History in the ‘Real world’. We examine the difference between academic and public history, and explore issues relating to the presentation of history within the heritage industry and within ‘regeneration’ projects within the locality. Students acquire skills in oral history, and are able to put these into practice in interviews. This year, I have added a session on History in the National Curriculum, both to further facilitate the educational materials that some students are engaged in producing, but also in response to students’ reports that the clear links with their planned PGCEs were not made explicit enough in the course of the module.

**Description of and tips for good practice; lessons learned**

One of the joys of this module is that it changes each year, depending on the requirements of the community history groups with whom we work. As a result, the portfolio of possible projects is continually expanding, and students now have (some excellent) past work to consult. (One of the problems early on was a lack of confidence about what was being asked of them). So one lesson I have learned has been that this module becomes easier to run each year, as it brings with it a body of work and actually generates enthusiastic partners who want us to work with them.

A really important part of this module is that we do good quality work for the external partner. Early on, I hadn’t given much thought to ‘keeping sweet’ the partners, and so now make sure I am in regular contact with them, partly to make sure they have realistic expectations of what the students can achieve and to iron out any difficulties. This followed a particularly bad experience last year, with an over-controlling and hyper-critical ‘partner’, with whom we won’t be working again! A key part of the project is negotiating the different styles of the partners: some want control, and I have to try to loosen their grip; others remain quite distant, and I then have to step into a more actively supervisory role; while others are very inspiring and give considerable leeway to the students (this latter is something I have learned from in turn also).

I have learned that it is good practice to give students a lot of autonomy in the planning and execution of the project. I feel more relaxed about this now that the project development is done on-line, i.e. via a (small group) wiki and (individual) learning diary. I can give the students a lot of scope with their work while also satisfying my need for some degree of surveillance! So, the framework is important; if it is well structured and well organised, it is easier to facilitate autonomy.

I have changed the module to include more focus on teaching history in schools, in response to student feedback. A good number of the students who take this module are planning to do a PGCE, and come highly motivated regarding producing teaching materials. An understanding of history at key stages 1, 2 and 3; of themes and challenges in history teaching; and reflection on their own school history education, have thus become a key component of the module.

**Key points of any feedback gathered or evaluation undertaken with students or staff**

Some comments from 2005-06:

‘Our project is complete and I’ve enjoyed it very much. By far, my favourite module this year… This course was very challenging; it required the learning of many new skills and demanding much more commitment than any other one that I had partaken in before. Despite this however, I found it thoroughly enjoyable. It has provided me with the opportunity to try many new skills and with the forum to meet lots of very pleasant people, whom I would otherwise not have had the opportunity to meet. For this reason alone I would recommend it to future students.’

(Student 1, female)

‘… The transcription was long and arduous and extremely time consuming, but once done there is a sense of achievement which has been unique amongst my modules this semester. I think this project has definitely been the highlight of my module choices and I would advise any student thinking of taking it next year of two things. Firstly, I would warn them that it is a big commitment, and you have to be prepared to organise, arrange and meet with complete strangers sometimes in places you’ve never been before, so it is best to be as confident and positive as possible. Secondly I would say, go do it! Despite the work load being high, and without sounding melodramatic, I think (I and Marie) will have gained more than just points and grades from this module. Although it has been hard, it has also been a lot of fun and I would definitely consider helping on another community research project in the future.’

(Student 2, male)
'It is hard to say what overall value the project will have to the school children who carry it out but for me it has proved invaluable. As an aspiring teacher, it has given me experience in planning projects and will help me next year in my teacher training. Local history has proven to be important to many communities and I feel proud to have been part of something that encourages this... If there was anything I would change it would have been the time we had for the project... Overall it has been a pleasure to do the project and I feel that I worked well and am pleased with the work that I have done.'

(Student 3, female)
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