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## About Maguire Associates

- Research-based consultancy based in Concord, Massachusetts, USA
- Established in 1983
- Partnered with 400+ public and private HEls
- Staffed by experts, practitioners, and analysts from a variety of areas within higher education:
- Admissions \& Recruitment
- Financial Aid
- Institutional Research
- Advancement
- Governing Boards
- Industry leaders in predictive modeling
- Recognized for thought leadership and publications


# Recruiting Students: <br> Challenges for HEls 

## Tuition and Fees


"Oxford Losing 8000 Pounds per Student"

- Guardian
"Funding Shortfall Threatens Universities"
- Times
"Full Universities Will Turn Away Thousands"
- Times
"Funding Cuts Could Undermine Strong HE Reputation"
-- Independent
"Grants Frozen as Fees Rise"
-- University World News


## UK/US Cost of Attendance

Universities


## Other Challenges

- Increasing demand for higher education
- Demographic uncertainty
- Lack of resources
- Physical plant maintenance and upkeep
- Talent drain
- Diversity (race, class)
- Higher net cost to student \& families
- Student \& family debt
- Gender imbalance


## Implications for Recruitment

## Students and Families

- Becoming better informed "consumers"
- Net cost is a consideration
- Price versus perceived value
- Students will "vote with their feet"
- Increased cost = increased expectations


## Institutions

- Becoming more competitive
> Market programs and services
> Maximize headcount and net revenue
$>$ Enroll high quality students
> Shape incoming classes


## Managing Recruitment

- A student body by design rather than by chance
- Adopting a proactive approach
- Commitment to data-driven decision making
- Strategic allocation of institutional resources
- Clarifying institutional mission and goals
- Awareness of the "bottom line"
- Engaging the campus community
- Looking outside institutional borders
- Change...



## The Multi-Funnel Model


"Who," "What," "Where," "When," and "Why"

## The "Who" and the "What"

- Who applies to your institution?
- Who enrolls at your institution?
- Who is successful at your institution?
-What is the profile of students who succeed at your institution?
$\checkmark$ Attract more "good fits" to your institution
- Which institutions are your head-to-head competitors for applicants? Enrolls?
- What are your institution's perceived strengths and weaknesses in your market?
$\checkmark$ Target your messages to desired populations


## The "Where"



- Where do your inquiries come from?
- Applicants?
- Enrolls?
$\checkmark$ Identify your primary, secondary, and tertiary markets


## The "When"

- When do you start communicating with prospective students and parents?
$\checkmark$ Are your competitors in front of you?
- Are enquiries handled within 24-48 hours?
$\checkmark$ Demonstrate your interest and good service
- Do you contact prospective students when they are most likely to be receptive?
$\checkmark$ Time messaging for greatest impact


## Finding out "Why"

## - Inquiry, Applicant, and Admitted Student Surveys

## ADMITTED STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE ${ }^{\oplus}$

Many characteristics of colleges are important to students in making college choices. Some of these characteristics are listed below Please indicate in column A how important each college characteristic was to you in choosing the college that you will attend. In column B indicate how our college compared to other colleges that you considered seriously. Circle the numbers that best represent your ratings.

COLLEGE CHARACTERISTICS A. IMPORTANCE TO YOU Imporiant important important

1. Quality of faculty
2. Quality of majors of interest to you
3. Overall academic reputation
4. Quality of academic facilities (library, laboratories, computers, etc.)
5. Variety of courses
6. Access to facuity
7. Concentration on undergraduate education
8. Prominent intercollegiate athletics
9. Cost to your family - how much you and your family would have to pay after grants and scholarships (if any) are subtracted from total college costs

# Case Study - the "How" 

Medium - Sized Private<br>College in Wisconsin






## Top Geographic Conversions

Regular Freshmen

|  | Shortzip | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit \% | Enrolls | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 600 - Palatine, IL | 557 | 45 | 8.1\% | 33 | 73.3\% | 10 | 30.3\% |
| 2 | 549 - Oshkosh, WI | 543 | 136 | 25.0\% | 111 | 81.6\% | 56 | 50.5\% |
| 3 | 530 - Milwaukee, WI | 481 | 74 | 15.4\% | 54 | 73.0\% | 17 | 31.5\% |
| 4 | 606 - Chicago, IL | 441 | 39 | 8.8\% | 30 | 76.9\% | 4 | 13.3\% |
| 5 | 531 - Milwaukee, WI | 439 | 71 | 16.2\% | 60 | 84.5\% | 15 | 25.0\% |
| 6 | 604 - S Suburban, IL | 370 | 23 | 6.2\% | 17 | 73.9\% | 4 | 23.5\% |
| 7 | 601 - Carol Stream, IL | 351 | 30 | 8.5\% | 26 | 86.7\% | 8 | 30.8\% |
| 8 | 532 - Milwaukee, WI | 333 | 47 | 14.1\% | 37 | 78.7\% | 16 | 43.2\% |
| 9 | 535 - Madison, WI | 315 | 46 | 14.6\% | 40 | 87.0\% | 12 | 30.0\% |
| 10 | 541 - Green Bay, WI | 283 | 40 | 14.1\% | 36 | 90.0\% | 24 | 66.7\% |
| 11 | 605 - Fox Valley, IL | 258 | 17 | 6.6\% | 10 | 58.8\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 12 | 544 - Wausau, WI | 243 | 30 | 12.3\% | 28 | 93.3\% | 7 | 25.0\% |
| 13 | 554 - Minneapolis, MN | 211 | 25 | 11.8\% | 20 | 80.0\% | 4 | 20.0\% |
| 14 | 551 - St Paul, MN | 194 | 28 | 14.4\% | 26 | 92.9\% | 3 | 11.5\% |
| 15 | 539 - Portage, WI | 172 | 31 | 18.0\% | 23 | 74.2\% | 14 | 60.9\% |
| 16 | 553 - Minneapolis, MN | 158 | 7 | 4.4\% | 5 | 71.4\% | 2 | 40.0\% |
| 17 | 550 - St Paul, MN | 155 | 13 | 8.4\% | 12 | 92.3\% | 1 | 8.3\% |
| 18 | 631 - St Louis, MO | 122 | 6 | 4.9\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 2 | 40.0\% |
| 19 | 542 - Green Bay, WI | 119 | 22 | 18.5\% | 20 | 90.9\% | 9 | 45.0\% |
| 20 | 546 - La Crosse, WI | 118 | 12 | 10.2\% | 9 | 75.0\% | 1 | 11.1\% |
| 21 | 630 - St Louis, MO | 117 | 1 | 0.9\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| 22 | 543 - Green Bay, WI | 110 | 18 | 16.4\% | 14 | 77.8\% | 5 | 35.7\% |
| 23 | 547 - Eau Claire, WI | 105 | 3 | 2.9\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 50.0\% |
| 24 | 610 - Rockford, IL | 103 | 4 | 3.9\% | 4 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 25 | 548 - Spooner, WI | 80 | 6 | 7.5\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 2 | 40.0\% |
| 26 | 559 - Rochester, MN | 80 | 4 | 5.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% |
| 27 | 537 - Madison, WI | 75 | 13 | 17.3\% | 9 | 69.2\% | 2 | 22.2\% |
| 28 | 622 - St Louis, MO | 74 | 2 | 2.7\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 29 | 611 - Rockford, IL | 71 | 6 | 8.5\% | 4 | 66.7\% | 1 | 25.0\% |
| 30 | 752 - Dallas, TX | 63 | 51 | 81.0\% | 35 | 68.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% |

## 2006-2008 High School Conversions

Regular Freshmen

Fond Du Lac HS

Arrowhead HS

Kimberly HS

Neenah HS

Oshkosh West HS

Lourdes HS

Ripon HS

|  | Prospects | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit $\%$ | Enrolls | Yield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fall 2006 | 108 | 36 | 6 | $16.7 \%$ | 5 | $83.3 \%$ | 1 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 111 | 35 | 9 | $25.7 \%$ | 8 | $88.9 \%$ | 2 | $25.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 69 | 36 | 8 | $22.2 \%$ | 7 | $87.5 \%$ | 5 | $71.4 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 217 | 18 | 5 | $27.8 \%$ | 5 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 259 | 28 | 6 | $21.4 \%$ | 5 | $83.3 \%$ | 1 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 368 | 29 | 4 | $13.8 \%$ | 3 | $75.0 \%$ | 2 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 91 | 23 | 3 | $13.0 \%$ | 3 | $100.0 \%$ | 1 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 125 | 30 | 10 | $33.3 \%$ | 5 | $50.0 \%$ | 3 | $60.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 103 | 16 | 7 | $43.8 \%$ | 6 | $85.7 \%$ | 4 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 81 | 29 | 4 | $13.8 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | 2 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 312 | 27 | 7 | $25.9 \%$ | 6 | $85.7 \%$ | 2 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 154 | 26 | 6 | $23.1 \%$ | 4 | $66.7 \%$ | 2 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 109 | 36 | 9 | $25.0 \%$ | 6 | $66.7 \%$ | 2 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 121 | 43 | 8 | $18.6 \%$ | 8 | $100.0 \%$ | 3 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 100 | 51 | 13 | $25.5 \%$ | 8 | $61.5 \%$ | 3 | $37.5 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 28 | 10 | 7 | $70.0 \%$ | 3 | $42.9 \%$ | 2 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 24 | 8 | 4 | $50.0 \%$ | 3 | $75.0 \%$ | 2 | $66.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 35 | 21 | 4 | $19.0 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 35 | 16 | 3 | $18.8 \%$ | 2 | $66.7 \%$ | 1 | $50.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 104 | 15 | 5 | $33.3 \%$ | 5 | $100.0 \%$ | 5 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 47 | 30 | 11 | $36.7 \%$ | 7 | $63.6 \%$ | 4 | $57.1 \%$ |

## 2006-2008 HS Type Conversions

Regular Freshmen

Public School

Private School

Home School and Other

|  | Prospects | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit \% | Enrolls | Yield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fall 2006 | 58,892 | 6,841 | 761 | $11.1 \%$ | 595 | $78.2 \%$ | 207 | $34.8 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 88,822 | 7,106 | 803 | $11.3 \%$ | 638 | $79.5 \%$ | 225 | $35.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 90,243 | 7,918 | 890 | $11.2 \%$ | 709 | $79.7 \%$ | 229 | $32.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 12,833 | 1,014 | 132 | $13.0 \%$ | 105 | $79.5 \%$ | 31 | $29.5 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 20,051 | 938 | 122 | $13.0 \%$ | 98 | $80.3 \%$ | 26 | $26.5 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 18,320 | 1,129 | 129 | $11.4 \%$ | 108 | $83.7 \%$ | 38 | $35.2 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 145 | 13 | 4 | $30.8 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 216 | 33 | 8 | $24.2 \%$ | 7 | $87.5 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 231 | 22 | 6 | $27.3 \%$ | 4 | $66.7 \%$ | 1 | $25.0 \%$ |

Public

Independent, non religious

Independent, Catholic

Other religiously affiliated

|  | Prospects | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit $\%$ | Enrolls | Yield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fall 2006 | 58,892 | 6,841 | 761 | $11.1 \%$ | 595 | $78.2 \%$ | 207 | $34.8 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 88,822 | 7,106 | 803 | $11.3 \%$ | 638 | $79.5 \%$ | 225 | $35.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 90,243 | 7,918 | 890 | $11.2 \%$ | 709 | $79.7 \%$ | 229 | $32.3 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 1,295 | 129 | 29 | $22.5 \%$ | 23 | $79.3 \%$ | 9 | $39.1 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 1,702 | 173 | 28 | $16.2 \%$ | 23 | $82.1 \%$ | 6 | $26.1 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 1,449 | 162 | 37 | $22.8 \%$ | 33 | $89.2 \%$ | 14 | $42.4 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 8,774 | 641 | 78 | $12.2 \%$ | 62 | $79.5 \%$ | 16 | $25.8 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 14,106 | 568 | 72 | $12.7 \%$ | 57 | $79.2 \%$ | 18 | $31.6 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 13,067 | 686 | 63 | $9.2 \%$ | 52 | $82.5 \%$ | 14 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Fall 2006 | 2,764 | 244 | 25 | $10.2 \%$ | 20 | $80.0 \%$ | 6 | $30.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2007 | 4,243 | 197 | 22 | $11.2 \%$ | 18 | $81.8 \%$ | 2 | $11.1 \%$ |
| Fall 2008 | 3,804 | 281 | 29 | $10.3 \%$ | 23 | $79.3 \%$ | 10 | $43.5 \%$ |

## 2006-2008 Academic Interest Conversions

## Regular Freshmen

| Unknown |  | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit \% | Enrolls | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall 2006 | 893 | 65 | 7.3\% | 53 | 81.5\% | 26 | 49.1\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 654 | 56 | 8.6\% | 48 | 85.7\% | 9 | 18.8\% |
| Arts | Fall 2008 | 892 | 55 | 6.2\% | 48 | 87.3\% | 19 | 39.6\% |
|  | Fall 2006 | 529 | 43 | 8.1\% | 29 | 67.4\% | 5 | 17.2\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 516 | 38 | 7.4\% | 28 | 73.7\% | 10 | 35.7\% |
| Business | Fall 2008 | 540 | 38 | 7.0\% | 27 | 71.1\% | 9 | 33.3\% |
|  | Fall 2006 | 717 | 103 | 14.4\% | 83 | 80.6\% | 21 | 25.3\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 690 | 84 | 12.2\% | 63 | 75.0\% | 30 | 47.6\% |
| Education | Fall 2008 | 842 | 105 | 12.5\% | 75 | 71.4\% | 24 | 32.0\% |
|  | Fall 2006 | 627 | 89 | 14.2\% | 66 | 74.2\% | 20 | 30.3\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 565 | 88 | 15.6\% | 60 | 68.2\% | 18 | 30.0\% |
| Engineeering | Fall 2008 | 582 | 109 | 18.7\% | 85 | 78.0\% | 34 | 40.0\% |
|  | Fall 2006 | 351 | 14 | 4.0\% | 11 | 78.6\% | 0 | 0.0\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 351 | 18 | 5.1\% | 12 | 66.7\% | 5 | 41.7\% |
| Humanities | Fall 2008 | 374 | 30 | 8.0\% | 25 | 83.3\% | 7 | 28.0\% |
|  | Fall 2006 | 569 | 98 | 17.2\% | 84 | 85.7\% | 33 | 39.3\% |
|  | Fall 2007 | 528 | 93 | 17.6\% | 76 | 81.7\% | 17 | 22.4\% |
|  | Fall 2008 | 569 | 95 | 16.7\% | 79 | 83.2\% | 23 | 29.1\% |

## 2008: Source Conversions

Regular Freshmen

|  | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admits | Admit \% | Enrolls | Yield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Student Initiated | 1,912 | 624 | $32.6 \%$ | 494 | $79.2 \%$ | 160 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Travel | 1,661 | 118 | $7.1 \%$ | 93 | $78.8 \%$ | 31 | $33.3 \%$ |
| Referral by person/group | 1,190 | 161 | $13.5 \%$ | 128 | $79.5 \%$ | 44 | $34.4 \%$ |
| Referral by ad/guidebook/other | 2,831 | 47 | $1.7 \%$ | 39 | $83.0 \%$ | 11 | $28.2 \%$ |
| Search | 2,625 | 96 | $3.7 \%$ | 81 | $84.4 \%$ | 25 | $30.9 \%$ |
| Unknown | 19 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 |  |  |  |

\% Applications


## Predictors of Application for 2008

Regular Freshmen - Modeling conducted on Fall 2006 \& Fall 2007 inquiry pools

- First Contact Type
- Student Initiated
- Travel Initiated
- Referral Person
- Search
- High School Type
- Catholic
- Public
- Private Religious
- High School Connection to Institution
- High Volume Application HS
- Academic Interest Type
- Business
- Education
- Humanities
- Science
- Social Science
- Undecided
- Geography
- In State
- Next State - IL
- Third State - MN \& TX


## 2006+2007 Equation Applied to 2008 Actual <br> Regular Freshmen



|  | Inquirers | Applicants | Conversion | Admit | Accept \% | Enroll | Yield |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Highest Likelihood | 1,975 | 639 | $32.4 \%$ | 507 | $79.3 \%$ | 171 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Mid-High Likelihood | 3,139 | 310 | $9.9 \%$ | 251 | $81.0 \%$ | 82 | $32.7 \%$ |
| Mid-Low Likelihood | 1,880 | 65 | $3.5 \%$ | 52 | $80.0 \%$ | 14 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Lowest Likelihood | 3,244 | 32 | $1.0 \%$ | 25 | $78.1 \%$ | 4 | $16 ; 0 \%$ |

## 2007+2008 Equation Applied to 2009

Regular Freshmen


|  | Fall 2009 | $\%$ of 2009 | Fall 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Highest Likelihood | 1,465 | $16 \%$ | 258 |
| Mid-High Likelihood | 2,070 | $23 \%$ | 607 |
| Mid-Low Likelihood | 1,596 | $18 \%$ | 656 |
| Lowest Likelihood | 3,869 | $43 \%$ | 2,797 |
| Total | 9,000 | $100 \%$ | 4,318 |

## Recommendations

- Use on-hand data to determine "Who," "Where," "When," "What," and "How"
- Take advantage of regression models to identify application predictors (your "hot" prospects)
- Consider surveys of inquirers and applicants to probe the "Why"
- Look beyond borders and higher education for best practices


## Thank you!

Sarah A. Parrott<br>sparrott@maguireassoc.com<br>Maguire Associates<br>555 Virginia Road<br>Concord, Massachusetts 01742<br>(978) 371-1775

